Andrew M. Slavitt
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
Department of Health and Human Services
Hubert H. Humphrey Building, Room 445-G
200 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20201

Dear Acting Administrator Slavitt:

The undersigned national medical organizations strongly recommend that the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) restore the Refinement Panel to serve as the relative value appeals process that was appropriately in place prior to 2011. The American Medical Association (AMA) reviewed the need for an appeals process at the 2016 AMA House of Delegates Annual Meeting and determined that having an objective, transparent and consistently-applied formal appeals process in place is paramount and that the original Refinement Panel process would best serve this function.

For more than 25 years, CMS has convened the Refinement Panel to carefully review public comments, hear testimony from practicing physicians and independently recommend refinements to relative values. Until recently, the Refinement Panel conclusions were uniformly implemented by the Agency, as shown in the attached table entitled, Attachment A: *History of the CMS Refinement Panel*. This historical information provides evidence that CMS did rely upon the Refinement Panel as an appeals process.

In 2011, CMS modified the process to only consider appeals which include "new clinical information." Additionally, the Agency began to independently review each of the Refinement Panel decisions when determining which values to actually finalize. Since the implementation of these changes, CMS has rejected the majority of requests for Refinement Panel review and only accepted 36 percent of recommendations from the Panel. With the dysfunctionality of the current iteration of the Refinement Panel process, CMS no longer relies upon outside stakeholders to provide accountability. Absent any independent mechanism for appeal, CMS officials are free to make valuation decisions without having to provide a compelling rationale when rejecting relative value recommendations from the RUC and other stakeholders.

In the CY 2016 NPRM, CMS proposed to permanently eliminate its Refinement Panel process. In the CY 2016 Final Rule, instead of finalizing the exact language of that proposal, CMS announced they would "...retain the ability to convene Refinement Panels for codes with interim final values" and that "...CY 2016 is the final year for which we anticipate establishing interim final values for existing services." The Agency did not have to formally announce the process' elimination to achieve the same outcome, since there will no longer be any codes eligible for review. We object to the CMS intention to make this vital process obsolete due to a technicality. We strongly urge CMS to open Refinement Panel review to all procedures and services that are under CMS review during the current rulemaking process. In addition, as part of their original proposal to eliminate the Refinement Panel, current CMS officials objected to the widely-held understanding that the Refinement Panel served as a formal appeals process prior to 2011.

The undersigned medical organizations strongly disagree with this unsubstantiated position, as the original Refinement Panel was clearly a text-book example of a standard appeals process. The original Refinement Panel process, coupled with the input from the AMA/Specialty Society RVS Update Committee (RUC), would provide the best mechanism to utilize the expertise from physicians and other health care professionals to determine the resources utilized in the provision of a service to a Medicare beneficiary. We are hopeful that CMS will return to a Refinement Panel process that is fair to physicians, other health care professionals and the patients that they serve.

Sincerely,

American Medical Association Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Advocacy Council of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology AMDA – The Society for Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology American Academy of Audiology American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry American Academy of Dermatology Association American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine American Academy of Neurology American Academy of Ophthalmology American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery American Academy of Pain Medicine American Academy of Pediatrics American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation American Academy of Sleep Medicine American Association of Hip & Knee Surgeons American Association of Neurological Surgeons American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons American College of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology American College of Cardiology American College of Chest Physicians American College of Emergency Physicians American College of Gastroenterology American College of Mohs Surgery American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists American College of Osteopathic Surgeons American College of Phlebology American College of Physicians American College of Radiation Oncology American College of Radiology American College of Rheumatology American College of Surgeons American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists American Gastroenterological Association

> American Geriatrics Society American Nurses Association

American Occupational Therapy Association
American Optometric Association
American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society
American Osteopathic Association
American Physical Therapy Association
American Podiatric Medical Association
American Psychiatric Association

American Society for Blood & Marrow Transplantation American Society for Clinical Pathology

American Society for Dermatologic Surgery Association

American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy American Society for Radiation Oncology

American Society for Surgery of the Hand

American Society of Anesthesiologists

American Society of Breast Surgeons

American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery

American Society of Clinical Oncology

American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons

American Society of Cytopathology

American Society of Dermatopathology

American Society of Echocardiography

American Society of General Surgeons

American Society of Hematology

American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians

American Society of Neuroimaging

American Society of Neuroradiology

American Society of Plastic Surgeons

American Society of Retina Specialists

American Society of Transplant Surgeons

American Speech-Language-Hearing Association

American Thoracic Society

American Urogynecologic Society

American Urological Association

College of American Pathologists

Congress of Neurological Surgeons

Heart Rhythm Society

Infectious Diseases Society of America

International Society for the Advancement of Spine Surgery

Medical Group Management Association

National Association of Social Workers

North American Neuro-Ophthalmology Society

North American Spine Society

Obesity Medicine Association

Renal Physicians Association

Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions

Society for Vascular Surgery

Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons Society of Gynecologic Oncology Andrew M. Slavitt August 23, 2016 Page 4

> Society of Interventional Radiology Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging Society of Thoracic Surgeons Spine Intervention Society

Attachment

Attachment A: History of the CMS Refinement Panel

| Refinement Panel Year | Number of Codes Reviewed by the Panel | Codes with Final Value Based on Refinement Panel Recommendation | Percent with Final Value Based on Refinement Panel |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1992 | 791 | 616 | 78% |
| 1993 | 42 | 40 | 95% |
| 1994 | 24 | 24 | 100% |
| 1995 | 18 | 18 | 100% |
| 1996 | 73 | 68 | 93% |
| 1997 | 9 | 9 | 100% |
| 1998 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| 1999 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| 2000 | 11 | 11 | 100% |
| 2001 | 9 | 9 | 100% |
| 2002 | 1 | 1 | 100% |
| 2003 | 10 | 10 | 100% |
| 2004 | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| 2005 | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| 2006 | 35 | 35 | 100% |
| 2007 | 16 | 16 | 100% |
| 2008 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| 2009 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| 2010 | 4 | 4 | 100% |
| 2011 | 143 | 47 | 33% |
| 2012 | 17 | 6 | 35% |
| 2013 | 12 | 2 | 17% |
| 2014 | 19 | 11 | 58% |
| 2015 | 5 | 1 | 20% |
| 2016 | 12 | 7 | 58% |